Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	en/	10/697,703	BOSCH ET AL.
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Tristan J. Mahyera	1609
All Participants:		Status of Application	n: <u>Pending</u>
(1) <u>Tristan J. Mahyera</u> .		(3) <u>Yang Tang</u> .	
(2)		(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 August 2007		Time: <u>4:45pm EST</u>	
	☐ Applic	ant's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			·
•			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
dee domination dreet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. Th eparate	e examiner will provide a record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
·			
·			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		i	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 1)Species Election #5 - Examiner asked Applicant to elect a species for non-nimesulide agents and not a genus as was stated in the Office Action mailed January 9, 2007. See page 5 top line "5) one or more non-nimesulide agents (i.e. aceclofenac)"

2)Examiner further asked Applicant to identify claims encompassing the elected invention. See Office Action mailed January 9, 2007 page 5 line 13 "(ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

3)Examiner and Applicant agreed that Examiner would wait three days for a response from Applicant then a non-responsive action will be sent .